

FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4







FIG. 5











FIG. 9











FIG. 11























Interaction of Pulsed Method Variables

The effect that this variable will tend to have:

ONOMER	or & initiator es of onomers may fuction ation curable o vary widely al exposure differences in	at various upon total rom their tial exposure	ulses may be e desired nd heat rticular lens g cured. period
IDENTITY OF MONOMER	Differences in inhibitor & initiator levels between batches of otherwise identical monomers may significantly affect induction periods. Various radiation curable compounds may also vary widely in their preferred initial exposure times due to inherent differences in their reactivity.	A significant effect that various monomers may have upon total cycle time will come from their different preferred initial exposure times.	The duration of the pulses may be adjusted to create the desired amount of reaction and heat generation for the particular lens forming material being cured. Adjusting the cooling period between pulses may also be
RATE OF COOLING	The rate of cooling tends to have a small impact upon the preferred initial exposure period.	Increased rates of heat removal may allow for a reduction in the time between pulses and thus total cycle time.	Increased rates of heat removal tend to allow for a reduction in the time between pulses.
LIGHT INTENSITY	As light intensity increases, initial exposure time may tend to decrease. The light intensity level may be controlled for a fixed curing cycle and initial exposure time. It is believed, however, that changes in light intensities may have little impact above a certain light "saturation" point for the sample.	Increased light intensity may cause a decrease in the initial exposure period. It is believed, however that changes in light intensities may have little impact above a certain light "saturation" point for the sample.	For a given light intensity level, the duration of the pulses may be adjusted to create the desired amount of reaction. The timing between the pulses may also be so adjusted.
MASS OF SAMPLE	As sample mass increases, initial exposure time may be increased. The mass of the sample interacts with light intensity to determine a preferred initial exposure time.	Increased sample mass may require increased total cycle time to dissipate the additional heat generated.	Increased sample mass may require longer periods of cooling between pulses of light. More heat tends to be generated from each pulse for larger samples, thus requiring longer time periods to remove
On this cycle	variable in: OPTIMAL INITIAL EXPOSURE TIME	TOTAL CYCLE TIME	TIMING BETWEEN PULSES

FIG 24


Interaction of Pulsed Method Variables (continued)

The effect that this variable will tend to have:

IDENTITY OF MONOMER	A significant effect that monomer identify may have on total cycle time may be contributed by differences in the preferred initial exposure period. Various lens forming materials may also require longer/shorter duration pulses depending upon their reactivity.	Various lens forming materials require different pulse duration depending upon their reactivity. For a selected material, slight differences in initiator & initiator levels will not tend to affect pulse duration.
RATE OF COOLING	There is only a small relationship between the total dosage of light a particular mass sample requires to polymerize and the rate at which it is being cooled.	A pulse will tend to generate a certain amount of heat to be dissipated. Since the pulse duration tends to be small relative to the time between pulses when the heat is being removed, changes in the rate of heat removal should not significantly affect the ideal pulse duration.
LIGHT INTENSITY	Increased light intensity will tend to result in decreased total exposure time and decreased light intensity will tend to require increased exposure time. It is believed, however, that changes in light intensities may have little impact above a certain light "saturation" point for the sample.	The duration of the pulses may be varied in inverse proportion with the light intensity selected. It is believed, however that changes in light intensities may have little impact above a certain light "saturation" point for the sample.
MASS OF SAMPLE	Increased sample mass tends to require both increased initial exposure time and a greater number of pulse/cooling cycles.	The length of the pulses during each phase of the curing cycle may be adjusted for different mass samples. The time between pulses may be increased/decreased according to mass.
On this cycle	variable in: TOTAL EXPOSURE TIME	DURATION OF PULSES


FIG. 24 (continued)

Center Interior Temps, °F



20/45

Interior Temps, °F




21/45

30 Sec. 22 450 Base @ I_o=760/950 Continuous Exposure vs. Pulsed Cycle 20 -130 Sec. 9 16 15 Sec. 4 Time (min) 15 Sec. Contin. -Exposure-19 Pulsed _ ∞ 4:40 Init. Exposure 9 $^{\circ}$

22/45

Interior Temps, °F








FIG. 29

. 1








FIG. 32




FIG. 33




FIG. 34




FIG. 35




FIG. 36





FIG. 37



$$R_0$$
 N
 R_1
 R_2
 R_1
 R_2
 R_3

$$R_0$$
 R_0
 R_0

$$R_0$$
 R_0
 R_0
 R_0
 R_0
 R_0
 R_0
 R_0
 R_0
 R_0
 R_0

FIG. 39




FIG. 40




FIG. 41




FIG. 42




FIG. 43




FIG. 44




FIG. 45




FIG. 46




FIG. 47




FIG. 48




FIG. 49







FIG. 50






FIG. 52

45/45




FIG. 53